

# Postfix: recent adoption of new technologies





#### Overview

- Overview.
- Motivation and architecture.
- Spam around the clock.
- Scalable defense (postscreen zombie blocker).
- New: miscellaneous improvements.
- New: security without global PKI (DANE).
- New: replacing Berkeley DB with LMDB.
- Conclusion.





#### Postfix timeline Larger is not necessarily better

Most of this presentation





# Postfix motivation and architecture

#### Why (not) write another UNIX mail system



#### CERT/CC advisories for Sendmail The initial threat model: mail server attacks

Advisory	Version	Impact
CA-1988-01	5.58	Unprivileged access
CA-1993-16	8.6.3	Unprivileged access
CA-1994-12	8.6.7	Full system privilege
CA-1995-05	8.6.9	Full system privilege
CA-1995-13	8.7.0	Full system privilege
CA-1996-04	8.7.3	Full system privilege
CA-1996-20	8.7.5	Full system privilege
CA-1996-24	8.8.2	Full system privilege
CA-1996-25	8.8.3	Group privileges
CA-1997-05	8.8.4	Full system privilege
CA-2003-07	8.12.7	Full system privilege
CA-2003-12	8.12.8	Full system privilege
CA-2003-25	8.12.9	Full system privilege

Motivation & architecture



# Postfix low-privilege architecture

(omitted: non-daemon programs for submission and management)





Spam around the clock



#### SPAM is a 24-hour operation ...



 Spam connections to charite.de (Berlin, Germany) Oct 29 – Jan 23, 2014, from IP addresses blacklisted at zen.spamhaus.org.

Spam around the clock



#### ... but many spambots are not



 Spam connections to charite.de (Berlin, Germany) Oct 29 – Jan 23, 2014, from IP addresses blacklisted at zen.spamhaus.org.

Spam around the clock



#### Spam connections/hour at charite.de (time in UTC) From IP addresses blacklisted at zen.spamhaus.org, Oct 29 – Jan 23, 2014





# Zombies suck the life out of the mail server

Adapting to changing threats



#### Email spam percentage over time (Symantec) August 2010: 92% Of email is spam, 95% of spam is from botnets





#### postscreen zombie blocker

Prior work: OpenBSD spamd, MailChannels TrafficControl, M.Tokarev





#### postscreen – one step in a four-layer defense





#### postscreen workflow - tests before SMTP handshake One daemon screens multiple connections simultaneously



Fast path: ~0.1 ms

Scalable defense



#### postscreen DNSBL/DNSWL support Parallel DNS lookups

Weight factors (to whitelist, use negative numbers).

postscreen\_dnsbl\_sites = zen.spamhaus.org\*2, bl.spamcop.net\*1, b.barracudacentral.org\*1

postscreen\_dnsbl\_threshold = 2

Reply filters.

postscreen\_dnsbl\_sites = zen.spamhaus.org=127.0.0.4 ...

postscreen\_dnsbl\_sites = zen.spamhaus.org=127.0.0.[1..11] ...

Allow "good" clients to skip tests (Postfix 2.11).
postscreen\_dnsbl\_sites = list.dnswl.org=127.0.[0..255].[1..3]\*-2 ...

postscreen\_dnsbl\_whitelist\_threshold = -2

Scalable defense

Making zombies bark - multi-line greeting trap



Good clients wait for the full multi-line server greeting:

postscreen: 220-server.example.com ESMTP Postfix<CR><LF>

smtp server: 220 server.example.com ESMTP Postfix<CR><LF>

good client: HELO client.example.org<CR><LF>

Many spambots talk immediately after the first line of the multi-line server greeting:

postscreen:220-server.example.com ESMTP Postfix<CR><LF>postscreen:(wait a few seconds)spambot:HELO i-am-a-bot<CR><LF>

© 2014 IBM Corporation

Scalable defense



# Over 60% of bots pregreet (8% not on DNSBL) mail.charite.de, Berlin, Aug 26 – Sep 29, 2010





# New developments: miscellaneous improvements



# Miscellaneous Postfix 2.11 improvements

- Documentation: "Perfect" Forward Secrecy.
  - -Network communication remains confidential when server private key is exposed *later* (heartbleed-class bug, government agency, hacking).
- TLS-encrypted MySQL database connections.
  - -Useful in multi-tenant network or cloud.
- Both "user+suffix@example" and "user\_suffix@example".

-In main.cf: recipient\_delimiter = +-

-Even small things can make a difference.



#### Miscellaneous Postfix 2.11 improvements

- Managing master.cf files without text editor (just like main.cf).
  - -Primary target: third-party management tools.
  - -Basic idea: "service-name/service-type/attribute = value".
    - Modify/add/delete parameter setting for specific Postfix service:
      - postconf -P "smtp/unix/smtp\_bind\_address = 192.0.2.1"
    - Modify specific service field for all Postfix services:
      - postconf -F ``\*/\*/chroot = n" (turn off chroot globally)
    - Similar syntax to "query" or "list" service attributes or parameters.



# Miscellaneous Postfix 2.12 improvements

- Delivery status filter for Postfix delivery agents (March 2014).
  - -Delivery status format: "X.Y.Z Descriptive text..."
    - Replace descriptive text to hide file names or command details.
    - Replace <u>X.Y.Z</u> delivery status code, for example to change soft errors into hard errors (can't change non-errors errors).

```
main.cf:
    smtp_delivery_status_filter = pcre:smtp_dsn_filter
smtp_dsn_filter:
    /^4(\.\d+\.\d+ TLS is required, but host \S+ refused.+)/ 5$1
    /^4(\.\d+\.\d+ TLS is required, but was not offered .+)/ 5$1
```

-SMTP client delays soft→hard mapping until "final" MX host.

Miscellaneous



# New developments: security without global PKI

DNS-based authentication of named entities (DANE)



# Global PKI, in theory

#### Example: server authentication without trusting IP or DNS.

- -Client connects to server.
- -Client verifies name in server certificate.



# In practice, PKI violates the principle of least privilege

- Hundreds of root CA certificates (Windows ~350, IOS ~200).
  - -Owned by ~100 distinct organizations world-wide.
  - -Hundreds (or more) registration authorities (RAs) world-wide.





#### SMTP over TLS – no server certificate verification RFC 3207, published 2002

Problem: RFC does not require certificate name verification.

-Why not the recipient domain name (example.com below)?

- One mail server may host many domains (RFC predates SNI).
- -Why not the mail server hostname (spamfilter.example below)?
  - The mail server hostname is looked up with insecure DNS.

Simplified connection setup procedure

DNS query: example.com MX?

DNS reply: example.com MX spamfilter.example

DNS query: spamfilter.example A?

DNS reply: spamfilter.example A 192.0.2.1

Negotiate TLS with host = 192.0.2.1, port = 25

# SMTP over TLS – downgrade vulnerability

Problem: the client doesn't know that it should use TLS.

-<user@example.com>, not <smtps://user@example.com>.

-Plaintext is the default, TLS is optional.



With man-in-the-middle downgrade attack

S:	220 server.example.com	
C:	EHLO client.example.org	
S:	250 server.example.com (No STARTTLS announcement)	
C:	MAIL FROM: <user@example.org></user@example.org>	
S:	250 Sender address accepted	
Pla	Plaintext throughout the entire session	



#### RFC 6698 (DANE) to the rescue DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities



Introduces TLSA<sup>1</sup> DNS records with:

- -Expected server (or issuer) certificate or public key.
- -Or better: their SHA-256 or SHA-512 hash.
- Requires secure DNS (DNSSec).
  - –Unavoidable when using DNS for secure authentication.

<sup>1</sup>RFC 6698: "TLSA does not stand for anything".



#### Two preferred (SMTP) DANE deployments (the other two modes combine DANE with conventional PKI)





# Simplified example with debian.org



Match TLSA record with SHA-256 of TLS server public key.



# Securing SMTP with DNSSec and DANE

- Minimized trust.
  - -Not: 100s of RAs.
  - -Secure copy of root zone public keys.
  - DNS target zone plus its ancestors.
    - Maybe: issuer cert.
- No downgrade attack.
  - –Use TLS when DNS TLSA record exists.





#### Postfix 2.11 – two new DANE TLS profiles

none	No TLS (always use plaintext)	
may	Opportunistic TLS (use TLS if available, otherwise plaintext)	
encrypt	Mandatory TLS (no plaintext)	
verify	Mandatory server certificate signature & name verification	
secure	Verify, with pre-determined name (prevent DNS spoofing)	
dane	Opportunistic DANE TLS (use TLSA record if available)	
dane-only	Mandatory DANE TLS (require TLSA record is available)	
fingerprint	Certificate or public-key fingerprint verification (no PKI)	



#### DANE support in Postfix 2.11 stable release

- Aug 2012: RFC 6698 is published.
- Q1 2013: Start of Postfix implementation (Viktor Dukhovni).
- Jan 2014: DANE support in Postfix stable release.

-Requires DNSSec validating resolver (e.g., BIND or unbound).

- Please try DANE support, but be prepared.
  - -A few DNS servers mis-handle TLSA queries.
    - Use "opportunistic DANE" as default.
    - Use "non-DANE profile" SMTP TLS policy for problem sites.
    - See TLS\_README (or the upcoming DANE\_README).



# New developments: LMDB database support

Unintended consequences of adopting AGPL



June 2013: Oracle updates Berkeley DB 6.0 license Popular open-source key-value store

Berkeley DB v5: two licenses, copyleft and commercial.

Copyleft: make all source code available if you *distribute work* that uses Berkeley DB.

Berkeley DB v6: two licenses, AGPLv3<sup>1</sup> and commercial.

AGPL: *also* make all source code available if you *provide network service* that uses Berkeley DB.

- Problem: cannot combine GPLv2 with AGPLv3 code, without relicensing all the GPLv2 code (GPLv3 would be OK).
- <sup>1</sup>GNU Affero General Public License version 3.0. Pronunciation: /'af.fe.ro:/.

Replacing Berkeley DB



#### LMDB - Lightning Memory-Mapped Database Author: Howard Chu

- Described by some as a Berkeley DB replacement.
- OpenLDAP Public License.
- Memory-mapped, max size limited by memory address range (typically ~31 bits on i386, ~47 bits on x86\_64 or ~128 TB).
- Copy-on-write, zero-copy, MVCC, multi-reader, single-writer.
- Ported by its author to dozen+ other open source projects.
- Postfix integration took 5 iterations with changes to both Postfix and LMDB.



# Challenges integrating LMDB into Postfix

- Hard database size limit, specified when database is opened.
   Postfix processes fail unexpectedly if size limit is set too low.
  - -LMDB 0.9.8 allows Postfix to resize database on the fly.
- LMDB lockfile must be writable by readers. Hard limit on number of readers, specified when database is opened.
   World-writable lock files, for example under /etc/postfix.
   Postfix process fail unexpectedly if reader limit is set too low.
   LMDB 0.9.9 allows Postfix to use external (fcntl()-based) locks.



# Challenges integrating LMDB into Postfix

- Information leak: writing ~4kbyte chunks of uninitialized heap memory to the LMDB database file.
  - -Contains traces from past activity in the same process, not necessarily meant to persisted or shared.



- -LMDB 0.9.10 initializes malloc()ed memory by default.
- LMDB library functions rely on assert() extensively.
  - -Writes message to stderr and aborts the program immediately.
  - –Postfix daemons fall out of the sky without logging any error.
  - -LMDB 0.9.11 allows Postfix to log an error message.

Replacing Berkeley DB



#### LMDB support in Postfix 2.11 stable release

- First persistent Postfix database that safely supports multiple writers such as postscreen(8) or verify(8).
- Not exactly a Berkeley DB drop-in replacement requires additional Postfix code to recover from "hard limit" errors.
- Expect better safety than Berkeley DB, mainly due to COW.
- Not necessarily faster, due to Postfix's small transactions.

© 2014 IBM Corporation

39



#### Postfix lessons learned

Invent sparingly: don't re-invent what works.

- SMTP, Milter, maildir, Sendmail lookup tables.
- Build the stable protocols into Postfix.
  - SMTP, LMTP, TLS, SASL, IPv6, DSN, MIME, LDAP, SQL, CDB, memcache, LMDB, (DANE).
- Plan for change: provide safe plug-in interfaces for future proofing.
  - Anti-Spam, Anti-Virus, DKIM, SenderID, SPF, greylist.
- Optimize both worst cases and common cases.
  - On the Internet, worst cases will become common cases.
- Don't let a C prototype become the final implementation.

Conclusion

© 2014 IBM Corporation